and all patients subsequently received mediastinal radiation. Analysis after entry of 60 patients showed a major median survival benefit for patients treated with pre-operative chemotherapy (26 versus 8 months, P < 0.001) such that the trial was stopped prematurely [10]. In the second trial, of similar design, patients treated with six cycles of pre-operative chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide, etoposide and cisplatin) had an estimated median survival of 64 months compared with 11 for patients treated with surgery alone (P < 0.008) and again the trial was stopped early following entry of 60 patients [11]. These results require cautious interpretation, principally because of the very small number of patients. Nevertheless, two trials, albeit small, both reporting similar findings carry considerable statistical power, and further data in this important area are urgently required.

In terms of patient numbers, primary/neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to radiotherapy may have a more important role than before surgery. In the last few years, two large randomised trials in patients with locally advanced NSCLC have reported significant survival improvement. In a CALGB trial, chemotherapy before radiotherapy was associated with a 43% improvement in median survival and a three year survival of 23% versus 11% [12]. Similarly, in a French trial, patients pretreated with three cycles of vindesine, cyclophosphamide, cisplatin and lomustine prior to radiotherapy had a modest but statistically significant survival improvement up to 3 years after treatment (P = 0.08) [13]. An MRC overview analysis of all such trials (soon to be published) confirms a small but statistically significant survival benefit. Further large trials addressing this important question are currently under way.

Future trials must attempt to identify predictive factors for response to chemotherapy to enable more selective treatment to be delivered. These could include both clinical and biological parameters, e.g. K-RAS. Meanwhile, clinicians must continue to use common sense; palliative chemotherapy is unlikely to be appropriate for frail or chronically ill patients with low performance status, but for selected patients, there is now good evidence of palliative benefit with a small survival prolongation.

- Ginsberg RJ, Gris MG, Armstrong JG. Cancer of the lung: non-small cell lung cancer. In De Vita DT Jr, Hellman S, Rosenberg SA, eds. Cancer: Principles and Practice of Oncology, 4th ed. Philadelphia, JB Lippincott, 1993, 673-723.
- Cullen MH, Joshi R, Chetiyawardana AD, Woodroffe CM. Mitomycin, ifosfamide and cisplatin in non-small cell lung cancer: treatment good enough to compare. Br J Cancer 1988, 58, 359-361.
- Gralla RJ, Kris MG, Martini N. Pre-operative chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer in patients with clinically apparent mediastinal node involvement (stage IIIA) with MVP chemotherapy (mitomycin + vinca alkaloid + cisplatin) [abstract]. Chest 1989, 96 (suppl. 2), 1185.
- Burkes R, Ginsberg R, Shepherd F, et al. Neoadjuvant trial with MVP (mitomycin-C + vindesine + cisplatin) chemotherapy for stage III (T<sub>1-3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>0</sub>) unresectable non-small cell lung cancer [abstract]. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1989, 8, 221.
- Souquet PJ, Chauvin F, Boissel JP, et al. Polychemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. Lancet 1993, 342, 19-21.
- Ellis PA, Smith IE, Hardy JR, et al. Symptom relief with MVP (mitomycin C, vinblastine and cisplatin) chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Br J Cancer 1994: in press.
- Cullen MH. The MIC regimen in non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 1993, 9 (suppl. 2), 81–89.
- Richards, MA, Braysher S, Gregory WM, Rubens RD. Advanced breast cancer: use of resources and cost implications. Br J Cancer 1993, 67, 856-860.
- Jaakkimainen L, Goodwin PJ, Pater J, Warde P, Murray N, Rapp E. Counting the costs of chemotherapy in a National Cancer Institute of Cancer randomised trial of a non-small cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 1990, 8, 1301-1309.
- Rosell R, Gomez-Codina, J, Camps C, et al. A randomised trial comparing preoperative chemotherapy plus surgery with surgery alone in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 1994, 3, 151-158.
- Roth JA, Fossella F, Ritsuko K, et al. A randomised trial comparing perioperative chemotherapy and surgery with surgery alone in resectable stage IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer. Natl Cancer Inst 1994, 9, 673-679.
- Dillman RO, Seagren SL, Herndon J, et al. Randomised trial of induction chemotherapy plus radiation therapy vs. RT alone in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: 5 year follow-up of CALGB 8433 [abstract]. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1993, 12, 329.
- 13. Le Chevalier T, Arrigada R, Quoix E, et al. Radiotherapy alone versus combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy in nonresectable non-small cell lung cancer: first analysis of a randomised trial in 353 patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 1991, 83, 417-423.



European Journal of Cancer Vol. 31A, No. 5, pp. 819-822, 1995 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain 0959-8049/95 \$9.50+0.00

0959-8049(95)00124-7

## Chemotherapy in Head and Neck Cancer

J.P. Armand and C. Couteau

HEAD AND neck cancers include all squamous cell carcinomas that originate in the anatomical region comprising the oral cavity, pharynx and larynx and are estimated to be one of the most prevalent tumours in the world. The standard treatment is usually surgery alone and/or radiotherapy. Despite the use of optimal therapy, 50% of patients develop local recurrences and 30% metastatic disease. The overall survival rate is 40% for complete resection and only 20% for unresectable disease [1].

Treatment for local recurrence of metastatic disease is often chemotherapy, even if its efficacy is low. Less than 40% of patients achieve a response, generally of short duration (average 6 months) and median survival is 6–10 months [1].

Response rates with single agents are often variable because patient groups are heterogeneous. Six drugs have demonstrated a high level of activity: methotrexate, cisplatin, carboplatin, 5-fluorouracil (5FU), bleomycin and ifosfamide [2–5].

Methotrexate has been used as a single agent with 40-60 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. High doses have not demonstrated any advantages (randomised trial of Southeastern Cancer Study Group with 500 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) [3]. Cisplatin, with an average response rate of 28%

Table 1. Single agent activity in head and neck cancer

Agent [Ref.]	Dose (mg/m²)	No. of patients	CR + PR (%)
5FU [3]	400–450	118	15
B [3]	15	347	21
CDDP[3]	100	288	28
CDBCA [4]	350	169	22
DX [18]	60-75	23	18
THP [19]	60	24	28

5FU, fluorouracil; B, bleomycin; CDDP, cisplatin; CBDCA, carboplatin; DX, doxorubicin; THP, pirarubicin.

(range 14–41%) is the most interesting agent. No difference was observed in responses or survival when standard and high doses were compared [3]. Carboplatin (average 26%, range 14–30%) has been actively tested in head and neck cancer because renal, gastrointestinal and neurological toxicity are significantly reduced [4]. The interest in bleomycin is its limited toxicity (no significant myelosuppression) so it can be given at a full dose in combination (Table 1).

Initial studies with 5FU demonstrated only a 15% response rate, but it was initially given as second or third line chemotherapy. Randomised trials have demonstrated that continuous infusion (96 or 120 h) is more efficient or modulators such as leucovorin. A new, more interesting schedule is ifosfamide, administered at 3500 mg/m² on days 1–5, with 43% of responses lasting 11 months. New drugs (paclitaxel, docetaxel) have not provided any additional benefit and responses are short (2 and 5 months, respectively) [6, 7] (Table 2).

To improve response and overall survival, combination therapy, especially with cisplatin and 5FU, has been tested [1, 3]. A randomised trial compared 5FU, cisplatin and their combinations. Although a relatively high response rate was achieved (32% versus 13 and 17%), there was no difference in survival (average 5, 7 months). A combination of three or four agents in addition to cisplatin, 5FU (vindesine, vincristine, bleomycin) did not increase results [8].

The important point of using chemotherapy in metastatic disease is to consider the quality of life. A selected population can be included in a phase II trial and prognostic factors such as a performance status, initial presentation and interval between initial treatment and recurrence need to be integrated.

Because one third of patients present with locally advanced

Table 2. New agents in head and neck cancer chemotherapy

Drug [Ref.]	Dose (mg/m²)	No. of patients	CR + PR (%)	Duration (months)
IFX [5]	3500*	28	43	11
Paclitaxel [6]	250	27	25	2
Docetaxel [20]	100	14	35	5
10-EDAM [21]	80	15	24	1.4

<sup>\*</sup> Ifosfamide 3.5 g/m² d1-d5. IFX, ifosfamide; 10-EDAM, 10 ethyl deaza-aminopterin.

Correspondence to J.P. Armand.

disease, many authors have recourse to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The aim of first line systemic treatment is to increase local control, overall survival and organ preservation. There are potential advantages to chemotherapy before local treatment: drug diffusion is optimal because the blood supply of the tumour is undisturbed; it is easier to assess the response rate and the performance status is often better (Table 3).

In the 1970s, induction regimens were tested, most of which used cisplatin, bleomycin or methotrexate with acceptable acute toxicity and without an increase morbidity due to local treatment. The overall response rate ranged from 50 to 70% with 20% of complete responses (9-11). Phase II trials have demonstrated that the most effective combination included cisplatin. Two cycles of chemotherapy seem necessary, and half of the clinical responses were correlated with pathological complete responses and an increase in survival. From 1985 to 1994, 25 randomised trials [1, 9-14] compared local treatment with or without neoadjuvant chemotherapy. None have afforded a survival benefit, however, chemotherapy was not used optimally, and the series were small and heterogeneous. Nevertheless, several issues were resolved: the same population responsed to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, thus cure was attainable with organ preservation and a low number of metastases were observed in the chemotherapy group (Table 4).

The T, N, initial tumour site and the chemotherapy regimen are prognostic factors for treatment response and for overall survival. New drugs, high doses or biological modulators have been tested without any real benefit (Table 5).

In conclusion, only a selected population seems to be cured using this approach, with a better quality of life, especially in larynx carcinoma.

Approximately 20% of patients with advanced disease will develop detectable metastases. In trials of adjuvant treatment, only disease-free survival is prolonged in the high risk population with extra-capsular lymph node involvement. The randomised trials have not demonstrated a survival benefit but compliance of treatment is low [10] (Table 6).

Since both neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy have failed to improve overall survival significantly, one of the current strategies is the concomitant administration of chemotherapy with radiotherapy. The rationale for this approach is based on differences in activity and toxicity with these two modalities. Chemotherapy is expected to potentiate radiotherapy by inhibiting the repair of sublethal lesions, hypoxic cells and cellular synchronisation. All the drugs with recognised activity in head and neck cancer have been tested. Most of the randomised trials with single agents have not achieved a longer survival despite a high response rate, better local control and longer

Table 3. Pilot trials of neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Author [Ref.]	Regimen	No. of cycles	No. of	CR	CR + PR
				_	
Randolph [22]	C + B	2	21	19	71
Hong [23]	C + B	2	40	30	76
Spaulding [24]	C + V + B	2	48	22	88
Elias [9]	C + B + M	1	22	18	73
Price [25]	V + B + M	2	91		64
<b></b> -	SFU + HyD				

C, cisplatin; B, bleomycin; V, vincristine; M; methotrexate; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil; HyD, hydrocortisone.

The authors are at the Institut Gustave-Roussy, Rue C Desmoulins, 94805 Villejuif, Cedex, France.

Table 4. Randomised trials of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and surgery

Author [Ref.]	Regimen	No. of patients	CR + PR (%)	Survival benefit
Stolwijik [10]	VBMCF	58	NR	None
Taylor [11]	ML	82	6 + 34	None
Rentscheler [26]	M	55	NR	None
HN Contracts [12]	PB	443	3 + 34	None
Kun [27]	BCMF	83	5 + 63	None
Toohil [29]	PF	60	19 + 66	None
Richard [13]	VB (IA)	222	6 + 42	Yes
Martin [29]	PFBM	107	6 + 43	None
Schuller [30]	PBMV	158	19 + 51	None
Martin [29]	PF	75	46 + 22	None
Paccagnella [31]	PF	237	44	None
VA Study [14]	PF	332	49 + 49	None

P, cisplatin; M, methotrexate; V, vincristine; C, cyclophosphamide; F, 5-fluorouracil; L, leucovorin; B, bleomycin.

Table 5. New optimal neoadjuvant regimens

Author [Ref.]	Regimen	No. of patients	CR (%)	CR + PR (%)		
Decker [39]	C, 5-FU	35	63	94		
Schuller [40]	C, V, M	58	28	66		
Ervin [41]	C, B, M	114	26	78		
Ensley [42]	C, 5-FU, M, 5-FU	46	46	65		
Greemberg [43]	C, 5-FU	30	50	100		
Kish [44]	C, 5-FU	11	45	90		
Haines [45]	C, B	51	24	69		
Dreyfuss [46]	C, 5-FU, LV	35	66	80		
Vokes [47]	C, 5-FU, LV	31	29	84		

C, cisplatin; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil; V, vincristine, M, methotrexate; LV, leucovorin; B, bleomycin.

Table 6. Adjuvant chemotherapy in head and neck cancer

Investigator [Ref.]	No. of patients	Regimen	Survival
Rentscheler [26]	60	MTX	NS
HNC [12]	462	CP	NS
Taylor [11]	95	MTX/CP + DX	NS
Szpirglas [37]	95	MTX, BLEO	NS
Rossi* [48]	224	DX, C, V	NS
Ervin [41]	46	CP, BLEO, MTX	NS

<sup>\*</sup>Undifferentiated Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma.

MTX, methotrexate; CP, cisplatin; DX, doxorubicin; BLEO, bleomycin; V, vincristine; C, cyclophosphamide.

disease-free survival [15-17]. Different chemotherapy regimens and radiotherapy schedules (accelerated and/or hyperfractionated) have only been tested in Phase II studies. Results indicate higher toxicity requiring hospitalisation and enteral nutrition which could be responsible for the toxicity-related deaths. The management of toxicity is an important parameter when developing these promising but aggressive treatments in patients who are very vulnerable because of

Table 7. Randomised trials of sequential chemotherapy plus radiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone

Author [Ref.]	Regimen	No. of patients	Survival benefit
Von Essen [32]	MTX 5-FU IUDR	87	None
Knowlton [33]	MTX	96	None
Lustig [34]	MTX	75	None
Fazekas [35]	MTX	638	None
Petrovich [15]	VM	23	None
Stell [16]	<b>VMBFHyL</b>	80	None
Shetty [36]	VBMFC	42	None
Szpirglas [37]	DOBP	114	None
Jaulery [38]	PBVM	100	None
Merlano [17]	P + 5FU alt RDT	150	Yes

MTX, methotrexate; F, 5-FU; fluorouracil; IUDR, iododeoxyuridine; V, vincristine; B, bleomycin; C, cyclophosphamide; D, doxorubicin; O, oncovin; P, cisplatin; IA, intraarterial; alt RDT, alternating radiotherapy; HyL, hydrocortisone + folinic acid.

considerable intercurrent disease. Four randomised trials have tested sequential treatment versus standard therapy or neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by standard treatment. Results show a survival benefit in favour of sequential treatment [1, 15–17] (Table 7).

In conclusion, chemotherapy is considered to have a limited role in terms of survival in head and neck cancer. New agents should improve the outcome of patients with metastatic disease, and then be developed in neoadjuvant trials. Identification of populations at high risk for local relapse or distant metastasis will make it easier to tailor treatment, simultaneous chemoradiotherapy and neoadjuvant chemotherapy, respectively, for these different forms of the disease.

Vokes B, Weichselbaum R, Lippman S. Head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 1993, 328, 184-194.

<sup>2.</sup> Vogler WR, Jacobs J, Moffit S. Cancer Clin Trials 1979, 2, 227.

<sup>3.</sup> Al-Sarraf M. Chemotherapeutic management of head and neck cancer. Cancer Metast Rev 1987, 6, 191-198.

- Al-Sarraf M. Management strategies in head and neck cancer: The role of carboplatin. In Bunns PA Jr, Canetta R, Ozols RF, eds. Carboplatin (JM-8): Current perspectives and future directions. Philadelphia, W.B. Saunders, 1990.
- Cervellino JC, Araujo CE, Pirisi C. Ifosfamide and Mesna for the treatment of advanced squamous cell head and neck cancer. Oncology 1991, 48, 89-92.
- Forastiere A. Use of paclitaxel (Taxol) in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Semin Oncol 1993, 20 (suppl 3), 56-60.
- Dreyfuss A, Clark J, Norris C. Taxotere for advanced incurable squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Am Soc Clin Oncol Proc 1994, X, 931.
- 8. Armand JP, Cvitkovik E, Recondo G. Salvage chemotherapy in recurrent head and neck cancer: The Institut Gustave Roussy Experience. Am J Oncol 1993, 14, 301-306.
- Elias EG, Chretien PB, Monnard E. Chemotherapy prior to local therapy in advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer 1979 43, 1025–1031.
- Stolwijik C, Wagener DJ, van den Broek Levendaj PC. Randomized adjuvant chemotherapy trial for advanced head and neck cancer: Neo-Neth J Med 1985, 28, 347-351.
- Taylor SG IV, Applebaum E, Showel JL. A randomized trial of adjuvant chemotherapy in head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol 1985, 3, 672-679.
- Head and Neck Contracts Program. Adjuvant chemotherapy for advanced head and neck squamous carcinoma: Final report of the Head and Neck Contracts Program. Cancer 1986, 60, 301-311.
- Richard JM, Sancho-Garnier H, Kramar A. Randomized EORTC Head and Neck Cooperative Group. Trial of preoperative intraarterial chemotherapy in oral cavity and oropharynx carcinoma. Eur J Cancer 1991, 27, 821-827.
- Department of Veterans Affaires Laryngeal Cancer Study Group. Induction chemotherapy plus radiation compared with surgery plus radiation in patients with advanced laryngeal cancer. N Engl J Med 1991, 324, 1685–1690.
- Petrovich Z, Block J, Kuishk H. A randomized comparison of radiotherapy with a radiotherapy-chemotherapy combination in stage IV carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer* 1981, 47, 2259-2264.
- Stell PM, Dalby JE, Strickland P. Sequential chemotherapy and radiotherapy in advanced head and neck cancer. Clin Radiol 1990, 34, 463-467.
- Merlano M, Rosso R, Benasso M. Alternating chemotherapy and radiotherapy versus radiotherapy in advanced inoperable SCC-HN: A cooperative randomized trial. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1991, 10, 109
- Stewart D, Cripps MC, Lamothe A. Doxorubicin plus metronidazole in the treatment of recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Am J Clin Oncol 1993, 16 (2), 113-116.
- Sridhar KS, Atif IIM, Benedetto P. Phase II trial of 4'tetrahydropyranyladriamycin (pirarubicin) in head and neck carcinoma. Cancer 1992, 15 (6), 1591–1597.
- Dreyfuss A, Clark J, Norris C. Taxotere for advanced incurable squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Am Soc Clin Oncol Proc, 1994, 931.
- Green MD, Sherman P, Zaleberg J. Phase II of 10-edam in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, previously untreated with chemotherapy. *Invest New Drugs* 1992, 10, 31-34.
- Randolph VL, Vallejo A, Spiro RH. Combination therapy of advanced head and neck cancer. Cancer 1978, 44, 460

  –467.
- Hong WK, Shapshay SM, Bhutami R. Induction chemotherapy in advanced squamous head and neck carcinoma with high-dose cisplatinum and bleomycin infusion. Cancer 1979, 44, 19–25.
- Spaulding MB, Khan A, De Los Santos R. Adjuvant chemotherapy in advanced head and neck cancer. Am J Surg 1982, 144, 432–436.
- Price LA, Macrae K, Hill BT. Integration of safe initial combination chemotherapy (without cisplatin) with a high response rate and local therapy for untreated stage III and IV epidermoid cancer of the head and neck: 5 year survival data. Cancer Treat Resp. 1983, 67, 535-539
- Rentscheler RE, Wilbur DW, Petti GH. Adjuvant methotrexate escalated to toxicity for resectable stage III and IV squamous head and neck carcinomas. A prospective randomized trial. J Clin Oncol 1987, 5, 278-285.
- 27. Kun KE, Toohil RJ, Holoye PY. A randomized study of chemo-

- therapy for cancer of upper aerodigestive tract. Int J Radiol Oncol Biol Phys 1986, 12, 173-178.
- Toohii RJ, Anderson T, Byhardt RW. Cisplatin and fluorouracil as neoadjuvant therapy in head and neck cancer. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1987, 113, 758-761.
- Martin M, Mazeron JJ, Bruno B. Neoadjuvant polychemotherapy of head and neck cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Onc (abstract) 1988, 152, 7.
- Schuller DE, Metch B, Stein DW. Preoperative chemotherapy in advanced resectable head and neck cancer, final report of the southwest oncology study group. Laryngoscope 1988, 98, 1205-1211.
- Paccagnella A, Cavaniglia G, Zoart PI. Chemotherapy before loco regional treatment in stage II + IV head and neck cancer. Intermediate results of an ongoing randomized phase III trial. A GSTIC study. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol (abstr) 1990, 9, 173.
- Von Essen CF, Joseph LB, Simon GT. Sequential chemotherapy of buccal mucosa carcinoma in south India: methods and preliminary results. Am J Roentgenol Rad Ther Nucl Med 1968, 102, 530-540.
- Knowlton AH, Percapio B, Bodrow S. Methotrexate and radiation therapy in the treatment of advanced head and neck tumors. Radiology, 1975, 709-712.
- Lustig RA, DF Mare PA, Kramer S. Adjuvant methotrexate in radiotherapeutic management in advanced tumors of the head and neck. Cancer 1976, 37, 2703–2708.
- Fazekas JT, Sommer C, Kramer S. Adjuvant intravenous methotrexate or definitive radiotherapy alone for advanced squamous cancers of the oral cavity, oropharynx, supraglotic larynx or hypopharynx. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1980, 6, 533-541.
- Shetty P, Motha A, Shinde S. Controlled study in squamous cell carcinoma of the base of the tongue using conventional radiation, radiation with single drug and radiation with multiple drug chemotherapy. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* (abstr) 1985, 4, 152.
- Szpirglas H, Nizri D, Marneur M. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy a randomized trial before radiotherapy in oral and oro-pharyngeal carcinomas: end results in Proc 2nd Int Head and Neck Oncology Research Conference, Arlington, VA, September 10-12, 1987, 261-264.
- Jaulery C, Mosseri V, Brunin F. Induction chemotherapy in advanced head and neck cancer: final results of a randomized trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1989, 15 (suppl. 1), 37.
- Decker DA, Drelichman A, Jacobs J. Adjuvant chemotherapy with cis-diaminodichloroplatinum II and 120 hours infusion 5fluorouracil in stage III and IV squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer 1983, 51, 1353-1355.
- Schuller DE, Wilson HE, Smith RE. Preoperative reductive chemotherapy for locally advanced carcinoma of the oral cavity, oropharynx and hypopharynx. *Cancer* 1983, 51, 15–19.
- Ervin TJ, Clark JR, Weischelbaum RR. An analysis of induction and adjuvant chemotherapy in the multidisciplinary treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. J Clin Oncol 1987, 5, 10-20.
- Ensley J, Kish J, Tapuzoglou E. An intensive, five course, alternating combination chemotherapy induction regimen used in patients with advanced, unresectable head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol 1988, 6, 1147-1153.
- Greemberg B, Ahmann F, Garewal H. Neoadjuvant therapy for advanced head and neck cancer with allopurinol modulated high dose 5 fluorouracil and cisplatin. Cancer 1987, 59, 1860–1865.
- Kish JA, Ensley JF, Jacobs JR. Evaluation of high dose cisplatin and 5 fluorouracil infusion as initial therapy in advanced head and neck cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 1988, 11, 553-557.
- Haines I, Bosl G, Pfister D. Very high dose cisplatin with bleomycin infusion as initial treatment of advanced head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol 1987, 5, 1594-1600.
- Dreyfuss AI, Clark JR, Wright JE. Continuous infusion high dose leucovorin with 5 fluorouracil and cisplatin for untreated stage IV carcinoma of the head and neck. Ann Int Med 1990, 112, 167-172.
- Vokes EE, Schilsky RL, Weischelbaum RR. Induction chemotherapy with cisplatin, fluorouracil and high dose leucovorin for locally advanced head and neck cancer: a clinical and pharmacologic analysis. J Clin Oncol 1990, 8, 241-247.
- Rossi A, Molinari R, Boraccyhi P. Adjuvant chemotherapy with vincristine, cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin after radiotherapy in local regional nasopharyngeal cancer: results of a 4 year multicenter randomized study. J Clin Oncol 1988, 6, 1401-1410.